



AFIFW
\$

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

10

Application Number

10/729,330

Filing Date

12/04/2003

First Named Inventor

Cameron A. Riddell

Art Unit

3643

Examiner Name

Kurt Rowan

Attorney Docket Number

999205-100025

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

☐

Fee Transmittal Form

☐

Fee Attached

☒

Amendment/Reply

☒

After Final

☐

Affidavits/declaration(s)

☐

Extension of Time Request

☐

Express Abandonment Request

☐

Information Disclosure Statement

☐

Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

☐

Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application

☐

Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

☐

Drawing(s)

☐

Licensing-related Papers

☐

Petition

☐

Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application

☐

Power of Attorney, Revocation

☐

Change of Correspondence Address

☐

Terminal Disclaimer

☐

Request for Refund

☐

CD, Number of CD(s) _____

☐

Landscape Table on CD

☐

After Allowance Communication to TC

☐

Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences

☐

Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

☐

Proprietary Information

☐

Status Letter

☒

Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below):

Acknowledgement of Receipt Postcard

Remarks

Enclosed also are: (1) Written Statement of 10/31/06 Telephone Interview; (2) Email from Robert Dickerson to Examiner Rowan re draft claim language.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name

JONES DAY

Signature

Printed name

Robert W. Dickerson

Date

October 31, 2006

Reg. No.

29,914

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: **EXPRESS MAIL Post Office to Addressee Label No. EV 749451384 US**

Signature

Typed or printed name

Jennifer Tai

Date

October 31, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. **DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

I. Written Statement of Substance of Applicant-Initiated Telephone Interview

Applicant and his attorney thank Examiner Rowan for granting the telephone interview which was conducted during regular business hours on October 31, 2006.

Applicant presents the following written statement of the substance of the interview pursuant to MPEP § 713.04:

Persons participating in the interview: Examiner Kurt Rowan; and Robert W. Dickerson, attorney for applicant.

Type of interview: telephone.

Exhibits shown and demonstration presented: none.

Claim(s) discussed: pending claim 1.

Specific prior art discussed: None.

Agreement reached: pending claim 1 as amended below was sent in draft form via email (copy attached) to the Examiner and then discussed, and it was agreed that if Claim 1 was formally amended as shown, it was allowable. Applicant also indicated that a similar amendment would be made to pending independent claim 16.